

On Wilson Criterion

Yury M. Zinoviev*

Steklov Mathematical Institute, Gubkin St. 8,
Moscow 117966, GSP-1, Russia
e-mail: zinoviev@genesis.mi.ras.ru

$U(1)$ gauge theory with the Villain action on a cubic lattice approximation of three- and four-dimensional torus is considered. The naturally chosen correlation functions converge to the correlation functions of the $\mathbf{R}$ -gauge electrodynamics on three- and four-dimensional torus as the lattice spacing approaches zero only for the special scaling. This special scaling depends on a choice of a correlation function system. Another scalings give the degenerate continuum limits. The Wilson criterion for the confinement is ambiguous. The asymptotics of the smeared Wilson loop integral for the large loop perimeters is defined by the density of the loop smearing over a torus which is transversal to the loop plane. When the initial torus radius tends to infinity the correlation functions converge to the correlation functions of the $\mathbf{R}$ -gauge Euclidean electrodynamics.

K. Wilson [1] related the confinement problem to the study of the correlation functions for the lattice pure gauge theories. The bulk of the paper [1] is devoted to $U(1)$ gauge theory with the periodic boundary conditions. The Wilson criterion [1] for the confinement is fulfilled if for the large closed loops Γ the correlation function $\langle \exp\{i\theta(\Gamma)\} \rangle$ looks like $\exp\{-a\Sigma(\Gamma)\}$ where $\Sigma(\Gamma)$ is the minimal area of a surface with boundary Γ .

This paper is concerned with the case where the gauge group is $U(1) = \mathbf{R}/(2\pi\mathbf{Z})$. Let $h(\theta)$ be a real twice continuously differentiable even periodic function with period 2π . The main examples of interest are the Wilson [1] energy function $h(\theta) = 1 - \cos \theta$ and the Villain [2] energy function

$$\exp[-\beta h_\beta(\theta)] = c_\beta \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp[-\beta(\theta - 2\pi n)^2/2] \quad (1)$$

where $\beta > 0$ and c_β is a constant chosen such that the right-hand side is one for $\theta = 0$.

Let e_i , $i = 1, \dots, d$ be the standard unit vectors in $\mathbf{R}^d$, and p be a non-negative integer less than d . The p -cells based at $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{Z}^d$ are the formal symbols: $(\mathbf{m}; e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_p})$ where the unit vectors differ from each other.

Let G be one of three abelian groups: $\mathbf{Z}$, $\mathbf{R}$, or $U(1) = \mathbf{R}/(2\pi\mathbf{Z})$. A p -cochain with the coefficients in G is a G -valued function on p -cells $f(\mathbf{m}; e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_p}) \equiv f_{i_1 \dots i_p}(\mathbf{m})$ which is antisymmetric under the permutations of the indices $i_1, \dots, i_p$. Let $\Lambda = \{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{Z}^d : N_1 \leq m_i \leq N_2, i = 1, \dots, d\}$ be a cube in $\mathbf{Z}^d$ for some integers N_1 and N_2 . The conditions

*Supported by the Russian Foundation of Fundamental Researches under Grant 93-011-147.

$$f_{i_1 \dots i_p}(m_1, \dots, m_j + N, \dots, m_d) = f_{i_1 \dots i_p}(\mathbf{m}),$$

where $N = N_2 - N_1 + 1$, for every $j = 1, \dots, d$ and $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{Z}^d$ correspond to the choice of periodic boundary conditions. For the periodic boundary conditions we define the boundary operator

$$(\partial f)_{i_1 \dots i_{p-1}}(\mathbf{m}) = \sum_{\epsilon=0,1} \sum_{i_0=1}^d (-1)^{\epsilon+1} f_{i_0 i_1 \dots i_{p-1}}(\mathbf{m} - \epsilon e_{i_0}) \quad (2)$$

and the coboundary operator

$$(\partial^* f)_{i_1 \dots i_{p+1}}(\mathbf{m}) = \sum_{\epsilon=0,1} \sum_{k=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{\epsilon+k} f_{i_1 \dots \widehat{i_k} \dots i_{p+1}}(\mathbf{m} + \epsilon e_{i_k}). \quad (3)$$

For the p -cochains with coefficients in $\mathbf{Z}$ or $\mathbf{R}$ the inner product is defined by

$$(f, g) = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \Lambda} f_{i_1 \dots i_p}(\mathbf{m}) g_{i_1 \dots i_p}(\mathbf{m}). \quad (4)$$

The energy function h and 1-cochain θ on Λ with coefficients $U(1)$ provide the 2-cochain on Λ with real coefficients. This 2-cochain is defined for any indices $i_1 < i_2$ by the following relation $(h(\partial^* \theta))_{i_1 i_2}(\mathbf{m}) \equiv h((\partial^* \theta)_{i_1 i_2}(\mathbf{m}))$. By 1 we denote 2-cochain $(1)_{i_1 i_2}(\mathbf{m}) = 1$ for any indices $i_1 < i_2$.

The finite volume Gibbs state in a cube $\Lambda \subset \mathbf{Z}^d$, at inverse temperature β and with energy function h_β is given by

$$\langle F \rangle_{\Lambda, \beta} = Z^{-1} \left[\prod_{\mathbf{m} \in \Lambda; i=1, \dots, d} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta_i(\mathbf{m}) \right] F(\theta) \exp[-\beta(h_\beta(\partial^* \theta), 1)]. \quad (5)$$

Here θ is a 1-cochain on Λ with coefficients in $U(1)$ and θ satisfies the periodic boundary conditions. The measure $d\theta_i(\mathbf{m})$ is Lebesgue measure on $[-\pi, \pi]$. Z is the normalization constant and F is a function of the bond variables $\theta_i(\mathbf{m})$.

This paper is concerned with the case of the Villain energy function and the periodic boundary conditions. We study the correlation functions: $\langle \exp[i(j, \theta)] \rangle_{\Lambda, \beta}$ where j is a 1-cochain on Λ with the integer coefficients. The inner product (j, θ) is not defined for a 1-cochain θ on Λ with coefficients in $U(1) = \mathbf{R}/(2\pi\mathbf{Z})$, but $\exp[i(j, \theta)]$ is well defined. It is easy to show that $\langle \exp[i(j, \theta)] \rangle_{\Lambda, \beta} = 0$ if $j \neq \partial\phi$ for some 2-cochain ϕ on Λ with the integer coefficients (see, for example, [3]). In view of the periodic boundary conditions we can identify the opposite vertices of the cube $[N_1, N_2 + 1]^{\times d}$ and obtain a lattice approximation $\mathbf{T}_N^d$ of the torus $\mathbf{T}^d$ of radius R , where $N = N_2 - N_1 + 1$. The p -cochains with the coefficients in the abelian group $G = \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{R}, U(1) = \mathbf{R}/(2\pi\mathbf{Z})$ satisfying the periodic boundary conditions form the abelian group $C^p(\mathbf{T}_N^d, G)$.

Let $f_{i_1 \dots i_p}(\mathbf{x})$ be the coefficients of a real smooth differential p -form on the torus $\mathbf{T}^d$. The inner product of the differential forms is similar to the inner product (4). We define the differential operator on the differential forms

$$(df)_{i_1 \dots i_{p+1}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_k}} f_{i_1 \dots \widehat{i_k} \dots i_{p+1}}(\mathbf{x}) \quad (6)$$

and its adjoint operator

$$(d^*f)_{i_1 \dots i_{p-1}}(\mathbf{x}) = - \sum_{i_0=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_0}} f_{i_0 i_1 \dots i_{p-1}}(\mathbf{x}). \quad (7)$$

We define the integer valued p -cochain on $\mathbf{T}_N^d$

$$(f_{N,b})_{i_1 \dots i_p}(\mathbf{m}) = [N^b f_{i_1 \dots i_p}(2\pi R N^{-1} \mathbf{m})], \quad (8)$$

where $N = N_2 - N_1 + 1$, b is a strictly positive integer, and $[r]$ is the integer part of the real number r .

The definitions (2), (7), and (8) imply that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (2\pi R)^{-1} N^{-b+1} (\partial f_{N,b})_{i_1 \dots i_{p-1}}(\mathbf{m}(N)) = (d^*f)_{i_1 \dots i_{p-1}}(\mathbf{x}) \quad (9)$$

where $2\pi R N^{-1} \mathbf{m}(N)$ tends to $\mathbf{x}$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition 1. *Let a correlation function $\langle \exp[i(\partial\phi_{N,b}, \theta)] \rangle_{\mathbf{T}_{N,\beta}^d}$ be given by the equality (5). Then, for any real smooth differential 2 - form ϕ on the torus $\mathbf{T}^d$, such that $d^*\phi \neq 0$, and, for any numbers $\beta_0 > 0$, $\gamma < d + 2b$, we have*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \langle \exp[i(\partial\phi_{N,b}, \theta)] \rangle_{\mathbf{T}_{N,\beta_0 N^\gamma}^d} = 0. \quad (10)$$

Proof. Let $z_1, \dots, z_g$ form a basis of the group of 2-cycles $Z_2(\mathbf{T}_N^d, \mathbf{Z})$ which is the kernel of the homomorphism $\partial : C^2(\mathbf{T}_N^d, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow C^1(\mathbf{T}_N^d, \mathbf{Z})$. The symmetric $g \times g$ matrix $\Omega_{ij} = (z_i, z_j)$ is positively definite and invertible. Let us introduce the dual basis $\bar{z}_i = \sum_{j=1}^g \Omega_{ij}^{-1} z_j$. Due to [4] the following equality holds

$$\langle \exp[i(\partial\phi_{N,b}, \theta)] \rangle_{\mathbf{T}_{N,\beta}^d} = W_{\mathbf{T}_{N,\beta}^d}(\partial\phi_{N,b}) \frac{\Theta((\phi_{N,b}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) | 2\pi i \beta \Omega^{-1})}{\Theta(\mathbf{0} | 2\pi i \beta \Omega^{-1})}, \quad (11)$$

where

$$W_{\mathbf{T}_{N,\beta}^d}(\partial\phi_{N,b}) = \exp[-1/2\beta[(\phi_{N,b}, \phi_{N,b}) - \sum_{i=1}^g (\phi_{N,b}, z_i)(\phi_{N,b}, \bar{z}_i)]] \quad (12)$$

is the correlation function calculated for $\mathbf{R}$ -gauge electrodynamics on the lattice $\mathbf{T}_N^d$ in the paper [5] and the Riemann θ -function

$$\Theta(\mathbf{y} | \omega) = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{Z}^g} \exp[i\pi \sum_{j,k=1}^g m_j \omega_{jk} m_k + 2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^g m_j y_j] \quad (13)$$

depends on the vector $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{C}^g$ and on the symmetric $g \times g$ matrix ω with the positively definite imaginary part. In our case, $\omega = 2\pi i \beta \Omega^{-1}$.

Relation (11) and definition (13) imply the following inequality

$$|\langle \exp[i(\partial\phi_{N,b}, \theta)] \rangle_{\mathbf{T}_{N,\beta}^d}| \leq W_{\mathbf{T}_{N,\beta}^d}(\partial\phi_{N,b}). \quad (14)$$

In [4], the following limit is calculated:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-d-2b} [(\phi_{N,b}, \phi_{N,b}) - \sum_{i=1}^g (\phi_{N,b}, z_i)(\phi_{N,b}, \bar{z}_i)] = \\ (2\pi R)^{-2d} \sum_{\mu=1}^d \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathbf{Z}^d; l_1^2 + \dots + l_d^2 \neq 0} R^2 (l_1^2 + \dots + l_d^2)^{-1} |(d^*\phi)_\mu(\mathbf{l})|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where

$$(f)_{\mu}^{\sim}(\mathbf{1}) = \int_0^{2\pi R} d\theta_1 \cdots \int_0^{2\pi R} d\theta_d \exp[iR^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^d l_k \theta_k] (f)_{\mu}(\boldsymbol{\theta}). \quad (16)$$

Since $d^*\phi \neq 0$, the limit (15) is a positive number. Now, the relation (10) follows from inequality (14) and relation (15).

Proposition 2. *Let a correlation function $\langle \exp[i(\partial\phi_{N,b}, \theta)] \rangle_{\mathbf{T}_{N,\beta}^d}$ be given by the equality (5). Then, for any real smooth differential 2 - form ϕ on the torus $\mathbf{T}^d$, $d = 3, 4$, and for any numbers $\beta_0 > 0$, $\gamma > d + 2b$, we have*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \langle \exp[i(\partial\phi_{N,b}, \theta)] \rangle_{\mathbf{T}_{N,\beta_0 N^{\gamma}}^d} = 1. \quad (17)$$

Proof. Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 3.4 in [4] imply that for any sequence $\phi_N \in C^2(\mathbf{T}_N^d, \mathbf{Z})$ and for any numbers $\beta_0 > 0$, $\alpha > d$, $d = 3, 4$, we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \Theta((\phi_N, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) | 2\pi i \beta_0 N^{\alpha} \Omega^{-1}) = 1. \quad (18)$$

Now equality (17) follows from equalities (11), (12), (15), and (18).

We note that the right-hand side of equality (15) coincides with $(2\pi R)^{-d} (d^*\phi, G(d^*\phi))$, where operator G is the Green's operator for the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the differential 1-forms on the torus $\mathbf{T}^d$. In [4], the following proposition is proved.

Proposition 3. *Let a correlation function $\langle \exp[i(\partial\phi_{N,b}, \theta)] \rangle_{\mathbf{T}_{N,\beta}^d}$ be given by equality (5). Then, for any real smooth differential 2 - form ϕ on the torus $\mathbf{T}^d$, $d = 3, 4$, of radius R and for any number $g > 0$, we have*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \langle \exp[i(\partial\phi_{N,b}, \theta)] \rangle_{\mathbf{T}_{N,g^{-2}(2\pi R)^{-d} N^{d+2b}}^d} = \exp[-g^2 (d^*\phi, G(d^*\phi))/2]. \quad (19)$$

It is important to note that there is no "canonical" scaling which is used to relate the lattice theory to the continuum theory. By taking a number $b = 1, 2, \dots$ in Propositions 1 - 3, we obtain the different systems of natural observables and the different scalings which give the unique non-degenerate continuum limit. We believe that this conclusion is valid for the lattice non-abelian gauge field theory models also.

Let us study the correlation functions (19) and verify the Wilson criterion. We substitute into relation (19) a square summable 2-form on the torus $\mathbf{T}^d$ given by

$$\phi = [\prod_{i=1,2} \Theta(L_i - x_i)] h(x_3, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \wedge dx_2, \quad (20)$$

where $\Theta(L - x)$ coincides with the Heaviside step function on the interval $(0, 2\pi R]$ and is periodic. Here, $h(x_3, \dots, x_d)$ is a smooth function on the torus $\mathbf{T}^{d-2}$. Definitions (2), (4) and (8) imply that $(\partial\phi_{N,b}, \theta)$ is a sum of Wilson loop sums for the rectangles with vertices: $(0, 0, m_3, \dots, m_d)$, $([L_1 N (2\pi R)^{-1}], 0, m_3, \dots, m_d)$, $([L_1 N (2\pi R)^{-1}], [L_2 N (2\pi R)^{-1}], m_3, \dots, m_d)$, $(0, [L_2 N (2\pi R)^{-1}], m_3, \dots, m_d)$, which is smeared with density $h_{N,b}(m_3, \dots, m_d)$ into the directions orthogonal to the rectangles planes. Here, $[r]$ is the integer part of the real number r .

The right-hand side of equality (15) coincides with $(2\pi R)^{-d} (d^*\phi, G(d^*\phi))$. It follows from equalities (7), (15), and (16) that the substitution of the 2-form (20) into this expression

gives

$$(d^*\phi, G(d^*\phi)) = (2\pi R)^{-d} \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathbf{Z}^d; l_1^2 + \dots + l_d^2 \neq 0} R^4 (l_1^2 + \dots + l_d^2)^{-1} (l_1^2 + l_2^2) \left(\prod_{k=1,2} l_k^{-2} |\exp[iR^{-1}L_k l_k] - 1|^2 \right) |h^\sim(l_3, \dots, l_d)|^2, \quad (21)$$

where we suppose that the function $l^{-2} |\exp[iR^{-1}Ll] - 1|^2$ takes its natural value $L^2 R^{-2}$ at the point $l = 0$. In order to obtain a single Wilson loop integral we need to take the density $h(x_3, \dots, x_d) = \delta(x_3 - a_3) \cdots \delta(x_d - a_d)$. Thus, $|h^\sim(l_3, \dots, l_d)| = 1$ and the series (21) seems to be divergent. From a physical point of view a thin contour (h is a δ -density) does not differ from a smeared one. However, by taking the different densities h , we obtain the different asymptotics of the correlation functions (19). For example, if we take $h(x_3, \dots, x_d) \equiv 1$, then due to definition (16) $h^\sim(l_3, \dots, l_d) = (2\pi R)^{d-2} \delta_{l_3,0} \cdots \delta_{l_d,0}$. By using our convention on the function $l^{-2} |\exp[iR^{-1}Ll] - 1|^2$, we have

$$(d^*\phi, G(d^*\phi)) = (2\pi R)^{d-4} \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathbf{Z}^2} R^4 \prod_{k=1,2} l_k^{-2} |\exp[iR^{-1}L_k l_k] - 1|^2 - (2\pi R)^d \left(\frac{L_1 L_2}{(2\pi R)^2} \right)^2. \quad (22)$$

The series in the right-hand side of equality (22) is the series of squared Fourier coefficient moduli for the function $\prod_{i=1,2} \Theta(L_i - x_i)$. It follows from the Parseval identity that this series is equal to $(2\pi R)^2 L_1 L_2$. This implies

$$(d^*\phi, G(d^*\phi)) = (2\pi R)^d \frac{L_1 L_2}{(2\pi R)^2} \left(1 - \frac{L_1 L_2}{(2\pi R)^2} \right). \quad (23)$$

Therefore, equality (23) shows that the Wilson criterion [1] for the confinement is fulfilled for $L_i \leq \pi R$, $i = 1, 2$ and for this particular choice of the density $h \equiv 1$. It is evident now that the Wilson criterion does not work in the lattice $U(1)$ gauge theory with the periodic boundary conditions for which it was invented.

It is proved in the paper [4] that for the differential 2-form ϕ such that a restriction of any coefficient $\phi_{ij}(x_1 + \pi R, \dots, x_d + \pi R)$ on $(-\pi R, \pi R)^{\times d}$ is independent of the radius R of a torus and has a compact support, we have

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \langle \exp[i(\partial \phi_{N,b}, \theta)] \rangle_{\mathbf{T}_{N,g}^d, g^{-2}(2\pi R)^{-d} N^{d+2b}} = \exp[-(g^2/2)(2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} d^d p (p_1^2 + \dots + p_d^2)^{-1} \sum_{\mu=1}^d |(d^*\phi)_\mu^\sim(\mathbf{p})|^2], \quad (24)$$

where the operator d^* is defined by equality (7) and a function $f_\mu^\sim(\mathbf{p})$ is an usual Fourier transform of a function $f_\mu(\mathbf{x})$ on the Euclidean space $\mathbf{R}^d$. The right-hand side of equality (24) is a correlation function of the $\mathbf{R}$ -gauge Euclidean electrodynamics [6]. The correlation functions for the Euclidean electrodynamics enable us to compute the Schwinger functions. Via the usual analytic continuation we find [6] the Wightman distributions. These distributions define also a new Wightman theory without the first axiom: the test functions form a certain subspace of the space $S(\mathbf{R})^{\times k}$. By an extension of these distributions to those over all test functions from $S(\mathbf{R})^{\times k}$ we obtain [6] the well known Gupta - Bleuler formalism for a free electromagnetic field. Therefore, our continuum limit for lattice $U(1)$ gauge theory is physically reasonable.

References

- [1] Wilson, K.G. : Confinement of quarks. Phys. Rev. D**10**, 2445-2459 (1974)
- [2] Villain, J. : Theory of one- and two-dimensional magnets with an easy magnetization plane. 2. The planar, classical, two-dimensional magnet. J. Phys. **36**, 581-590 (1975)
- [3] Zinoviev, Yu.M. : Duality in the abelian gauge lattice theories. Theor. Math. Phys. **43**, 481-490 (1980)
- [4] Zinoviev, Yu.M. : $U(1)$ Gauge Theory on a Torus. Commun. Math. Phys. **168**, 227-247 (1995)
- [5] Zinoviev, Yu.M. : Lattice $\mathbf{R}$ -gauge theories. Theor. Math. Phys. **49**, No.2 (1981)
- [6] Zinoviev, Yu.M. : $\mathbf{R}$ -gauge theories. Theor. Math. Phys. **50**, 135-143 (1982)